Katedra hospodárskej politiky Department of Economic Policy

Carbon footprint: Consumption-based approach to emissions accounting

Michal Habrman

Capabilities and limitations of the economic modelling of european environmental tax as an EU own resource

12th November 2015, Bratislava

Territorial emissions vs. Carbon footprint



- Territorial emissions
 - Production principle: Captures the emissions produced in the country's territory, either by the business or the households
 - Directly "measurable"
 - Long history of data recording
- Carbon footprint
 - Consumption approach: Captures the emissions consumed by domestic final demand (households, government, investments) plus the emissions generated by the households (own heating facilities, individual transport)
 - Has to be modelled
 - A new concept (ecological footprint was first introduced in the 90's (Rees, 1992; Wackernagel and Rees, 1996))



Carbon footprint

- Two possible approaces
 - Single-region input-output (SRIO) analysis
 - Employs national coeficients of emission intensity for both domestic production as well as imports
 - Underestimates the carbon footprint in low-emission countries and overestimates it in emission-intensive economies
 - Multi-region input-output (MRIO) analysis
 - Employs regional (country-specific) coefficients of emission intensity for imports
 - Applicable since the recent development of MRIO tables



What can we compute?

- ê_i denotes the emission intensity of the respective sectors in country *i*,
 matrices L_{ij} map the intra-industry, inter-industry and inter-country linkages (direct and indirect),
- y_{i1} holds for final domestic demand in country 1 for goods from country i,
- \mathbf{g}_{i} represents the emissions generated in the sectors of the country *i*.

$$\widetilde{g} = \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{e}_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \widehat{e}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \widehat{e}_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} L_{11} & L_{12} & L_{13} \\ L_{21} & L_{22} & L_{23} \\ L_{31} & L_{32} & L_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_{11} \\ y_{21} \\ y_{31} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{g}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{g}_1 \\ \mathbf{g}_2 \\ \mathbf{g}_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 \mathbf{L}_{11} \mathbf{y}_{11} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 \mathbf{L}_{12} \mathbf{y}_{21} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 \mathbf{L}_{13} \mathbf{y}_{31} \\ \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 \mathbf{L}_{21} \mathbf{y}_{11} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 \mathbf{L}_{22} \mathbf{y}_{21} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 \mathbf{L}_{23} \mathbf{y}_{31} \\ \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3 \mathbf{L}_{31} \mathbf{y}_{11} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3 \mathbf{L}_{32} \mathbf{y}_{21} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3 \mathbf{L}_{33} \mathbf{y}_{31} \end{pmatrix}$$



What can we compute?



Territorial emissions and Carbon footprint, Slovakia, Mt CO₂-eq.





Source: Habrman (2014)

Countries of origin of the slovak carbon footprint





Source: Habrman (2014)

Structural decomposition of the slovak carbon footprint (contributions in Mt CO_2 -eq.)





- Direct emission intensity of domestic production was not falling untill 2005
 - Emission intensity of imports was decreasing during the whole time period
- Changes in the structure of production and consumption lead to a decrease in domestic emissions, but an increase in foreign emissions (pollution haven hypothesis)

Advantages and drawbacks of consumption-based approach to emissions accounting



- Advantages:
 - Takes into account international transportation (which is not allocated to individual countries in territorial emissions)
 - Prevents carbon leakage naturally encourages cleaner global production
- Drawbacks:
 - Requires more complex calculations and hence assumptions and increased uncertainty
 - It shifts the calculation from one extreme (production principle) to another (consumption principle)
 - Extends outside the standard geo-political region (country, EU)

Katedra hospodárskej politiky Department of Economic Policy

Thank you for attention....